" IT REALLY **CHANGES THE DECISION-MAKING** In Favor of Endoscopic vein Harvesting for Patients and Surgeons"

 $\star$   $\star$   $\star$   $\star$  -TheHeart.org

" THE RULING? THE ENDOSCOPIC APPROACH WAS Equally safe but had lower rates of Wound complications"

- Cardiovascular Business

" PATIENTS WHO HAVE HAD BOTH ... **Marvel** at The difference in reduced pain and time of healing with evh"

. .

### "THE USE OF EVH COMPARED WITH OVH WAS NOT Associated with increased mortality"

-Dacey LJ. JAMA. 2012 Aug 1;308(5):512-3.

# " ENDOSCOPIC VEIN-GRAFT HARVEST IS **SAFE** FOR CABG SURGERY"

-Williams JB et al. JAMA. 2012 Aug 1;308(5):475-84.



## **MAQUET** GETINGE GROUP

#### THE DEBATE IS OVER. EVH IS SAFE, EFFECTIVE AND PROVEN

Since 2009, five independent studies have reaffirmed that endoscopic vessel harvesting (EVH) is the proven and effective therapy for the 21st century.<sup>1-5</sup>

- EVH does not compromise long-term revascularization outcomes.<sup>1-5</sup>
- Equivalent survival and cardiac outcomes for EVH compared to open vein harvesting.<sup>1-5</sup>
- Post-saphenectomy wound complications show significant superiority for EVH.<sup>1-3,5</sup>

Debate closed: EVH is the standard of care for coronary artery bypass graft surgery.

Study Group Publication Year	# Patients	Average Follow Up	Findings
Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Center, Halifax, Nova Scotia February 2010	5,825	2.6 years	No ↑ Mortality Wound Infection ↓ 50%
INOVA Heart & Vascular Inst, Falls Church, VA	1,988	1.8 years	No ↑ Mortality
June 2010			Wound Infection ↓ 38%
Northern New England	8,542	4 years	No ↑ Mortality
Cardiovascular Disease Group January 2011			Wound Infection ↓ 82%
Blackpool Victoria Hospital, Plymouth Derriford Hospital & University Hospital of South Manchester, United Kingdom	4,709	1.8 years	No ↑ Mortality
January 2012			Wound Infection Not Assessed
Duke Cardiovascular Research Institute	235,394	3 years	No ↑ Mortality
July 2012			Wound Complications ↓ 17%

#### References

- 1. Ouzounian M, Hassan A, Buth KJ, et al. Impact of endoscopic versus open saphenous vein harvest techniques on outcomes after coronary artery bypass grafting. Ann Thorac Surg. 2010 Feb;89(2):403-8.
- 2. Ad N, Henry L, Hunt S, et al. Endoscopic versus direct vision for saphenous vein graft harvesting in coronary artery bypass surgery. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino). 2011 Oct;52(5):739-48.
- 3. Dacey LJ, Braxton JH Jr, Kramer RS, et al. Long-term outcomes of endoscopic vein harvesting after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Circulation.* 2011 Jan 18;123(2): 147-53.
- 4. Grant SW, Grayson AD, Zacharias J, et al. What is the impact of endoscopic vein harvesting on clinical outcomes following coronary artery bypass graft surgery? *Heart.* 2012 Jan;98(1):60-4.
- 5. Williams JB, Peterson ED, Brennan JM, et al. Association between endoscopic vs open vein-graft harvesting and mortality, wound complications, and cardiovascular events in patients undergoing CABG surgery. *JAMA*. 2012 Aug 1;308(5):475-84.